

RECEIVED
U.S. E.P.A.

2006 JUL 26 PM 1: 54

ENVIR. APPEALS BOARD

Serafin Jiminez
13613 Jaybird Way
Redding, Ca. 96003
Tel. 530-275-6201

July 19, 2006

U.S. EPA
Clerk of the Board, Environmental Appeals Board 1103B
Ariel Rios Bldg.
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460-0001

Re: Knauf , Region 9, PSD Appeal

Dear Board Members:

FIRST: In the petition, (PSD Appeal 06-50) written by me, Serafin Jiminez, I am MR. Jiminez, NOT MS.

SECOND: It is my complaint that the emissions, already allowed Knauf Fiberglass, are harmful to me and other older people. I wonder what it is going to be like when we have to breathe the pollution the new permit allows Knauf to spew into our landlocked basin of Redding.

Region 9, in Support of Summary Disposition PSD Appeal, Nos. 06-01 through 06-06, page 16, claims the "established NAAQS and PSD increment levels are established to protect public health, including the health of sensitive population." If this is so, perhaps it is time for the EPA to take another look at the emissions presently allowed industry.

As our population ages, and some of us have fragile health conditions (especially here in Redding); maybe the EPA should consider more stringent emission controls that are less harmful to the population.

Times change, population changes, and ambient air changes. Nothing is static, therefore, perhaps the emission statistical requirements should also change.

Industry valiantly tries to avoid improving quality of emissions, as this is expensive and effects the "bottom line ," or better known as profits. However, the cost of health care will soon cost more than improving emissions. Industry pays for one, and the government pays for the other.

Yours truly,

